

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/940,783 08/28/2001		08/28/2001	Brian J. Petryna	PETRYNA 8	PETRYNA 8 3916		
27964	7590	11/13/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
HITT GAI	NES P.C.		LEE, ANDREW C	LEE, ANDREW CHUNG CHEUNG			
P.O. BOX 8	32570				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
RICHARDS	SON, TX	75083	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
				2616	**		

DATE MAILED: 11/13/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/940,783	PETRYNA, BRIAN J.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Andrew C. Lee	2616		

Advisory Action	09/940,783 PETRYNA, BRIAN J.		J.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Andrew C. Lee	2616					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 23 October 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.					
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the followalces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complete following time periods: 	wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f) Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension at CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened states.	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date on ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE Fig. 1.136(a) which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the corresponding amount of the fee.	f the final rejection. RST REPLY WAS FILE) and the appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	D WITHIN TWO ension fee have on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)				
above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	s after the mailing date of the final rejection	on, even if timely filed, ma	y reduce any				
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beta appeal; and/or	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);					
(d)☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	· -	ejected claims.					
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s 	21. See attached Notice of Non-C	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).	llowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendm	nent canceling				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		vill be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-21</u> .			÷ .				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a (1).				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after o	entry is below or attac	hed.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	ince because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	Paicle					
		RICKY Q. NGO	EXAMINER				

SUPERVISORY PATENT

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

The amended limitations added to claims 1, 8, 15 (filed on 10/23/2006) indicating "via a first telephone call and extracts therefrom a destination address for a subsequent telephone call" and "to automatically initiate said subsequent telephone call to said destination address via said computer network terminal" were not disclosed in the original claims while the application was filed. The limitations added to claims 1, 8, 15 rasie new issues, and hence change the scope of the claims which require further consideration and/or search.